



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Group Art Unit -- 3625
Examiner -- William J. Allen

April 2, 2007

In re Application of Sandra J. Racine
Title: User Interface Having Graphical
Indicators with Automatically
Adjusted Set Points
Serial No.: 10/676,187

Filed: September 30, 2003
Allowed: March 8, 2007
File No.: RA 5597K (1056-013US01)
Customer # 27516

Mail Stop Issue Fee
Commissioner for Patents
P O Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

SUBJECT: ISSUE FEE FOR RA 5597K (1056-013US01)

Dear Sir:

Please charge Deposit Account No. 19-3790 in the sum of \$1,400.00 to cover payment of the Issue Fee and also the sum of \$3.00 to cover the cost of the 1 extra copy of the patent, which was allowed on March 8, 2007.

Also enclosed is a "Fee Address" Indication Form and Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance.

Respectfully submitted,

Beth L. McMahon
Attorney for Applicant
Unisys Corporation (MS 4773)
P O Box 64942
St. Paul, MN 55164-0942
Reg. No.: 41,987
Tel. No.: (651) 635-7893

BLM/eav

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited in the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: MS Issue Fee, Commissioner for Patents, P O Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on April 2, 2007.

Emily Vogt
Legal Administrative Assistant

Signature

April 2, 2007
Date of Signature



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
Group Art Unit -- 3625
Examiner -- William J. Allen

April 2, 2007

In re Application of Sandra J. Racine
Title: User Interface Having Graphical Indicators
with Automatically Adjusted Set
Points
Serial No.: 10/676,187

Filed: 9/30/03
Allowed: 3/8/07
File No.: RA 5597K (1056-013US01)
Customer # 27516

COMMENTS ON STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

Mail Stop Issue Fee
Commissioner for Patents
P O Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

The Examiner's statement of Reasons for Allowance implies that the claimed invention was allowed because the prior art did not disclose specific elements. The elements characterized by the Examiner, however, even if found in the prior art, would not render the claimed invention invalid under 35 USC §102 because the claimed invention includes a number of limitations not addressed in the Reasons for Allowance. With respect to 35 USC §103, the requirements of establishing a *prima facie* case of obviousness including (1) a showing that the prior art teaches the entire claimed invention where all limitations are to be considered, and (2) that combining various prior art references is (a) suggested in the art and (b) there would be motivation to make the combination, with a likelihood of success, have not been satisfied.

Unless otherwise advised, these comments are intended, to be clarifying in a manner consistent with the law.

Respectfully submitted,

Beth L. McMahon

Beth L. McMahon
Attorney for Applicant
Unisys Corporation (MS 4773)
P O Box 64942
St. Paul, MN 55164-0942
Reg. No.: 41,987
Tel. No.: (651) 635-7893

BLM/eav

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited in the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: MS Issue Fee, Commissioner for Patents, P O Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on April 2, 2007.

Emily Vogt

Legal Administrative Assistant

Emily Vogt
Signature

April 2, 2007

Date of Signature